

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/594,276	MURAOKA ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) JUN LI. (3) ____.
 (2) Nathaniel McQueen. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2010

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 the limitations "interposed ther between"

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the examiner questioned the applicant about the 112 rejections about "interposed therebetween" has to be two elements, the applicant demonstrated with an enlarged pics adapted from Fig. 2 in the instant application to clarify such issue, see the attached PDF file of record.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)